European History (AP) Summer Assignment 2018 EuroAP18.1 Name:

Introduction:

Welcome to AP Euro. Our goal will be to achieve an understanding of this dynamic and immensely influential continent from the dawn of the early modern era (14th century) to the cataclysmic 20th century. In furtherance of that end, we will also discuss events in contemporary Europe when they have a relation to the events of the seven centuries in our curriculum. To stimulate your thinking over the summer, a book has been chosen which will examine two of the most influential decades in this seven hundred year period.

Your Selection:

Fracture:

Life and Culture In the West 1918-1938

by

Philipp Blom

(Basic Books, New York, NY 2015)

Author Biography:

Philipp Blom is a German-American, Oxford-educated historian and journalist based in California. His works include the widely acclaimed *Vertigo Years: Europe 1900-1914* (2008) as well as various novels and a libretto.

Your Task:

You are to read *Fracture* and write a five full page paper which addresses the following question:

"Identify and explain five events in the European interwar years (1918-1938) which reveal a central thesis of Blom's: that the interwar years are a time of collision between the certainties of the 19th century and the unknown direction which modernity portends."

The essence of this assignment is to get you to think about how all that European civilization has built since the 14th century has been blown asunder by the devastation of World War I. In the wake of the tragedy from 1914 to 1918, how does Europe deal with a new reality in art, music, politics and many other manifestations of culture?

Directions:

- 1. Citations referencing Blom are expected use University of Chicago citation methodology (this means footnotes). Keep direct quotes short and to a minimum. At least five references would be plausible for an assignment of this nature.
- 2. Footnotes mean you will have a separate page for "Sources." Blom may be your only source, but you may want to reference other scholarly sites. Number your pages
- 3. Since this is a European history course, focus on the events pertaining to Europe. It is preferred that you not choose the US -centric topics such as the Scopes Trial or Prohibition for example. Short references to topics such as these are fine, but no detailed analysis the European topics provide more than enough grist for your paper.
- 4. Cover pages are are a requirement of Chicago, but for this assignment create a single space header with your name, European History AP and the summer assignment title. The rest of your paper will be double-spaced.
- 5. Although you are focusing on five events and Blom helpfully organizes chapters around single years, you are to take notes on the Introduction as well as all 21 chapters in a journal I will ask to see at the start of the course. These notes are merely meant to get you to hone in on a major theme for each chapter and its significance. An example of an acceptable focusing-topic would be, in chapter 32, what was Stalin's motivation in bringing about widespread starvation through the Holodomor?

Due date:

Tuesday, September 11, 2018

Time Management:

June: Acquire or borrow book. Address this right away as copies of this book are limited. Hardcover, softcover or eversion of this book are acceptable.

July/August: Read the book in 20 to 30 page installments. This is a complex book, so there are a lot of ideas to master and that takes time.

September 1-10: Write your paper, contact me with questions and allow enough time for paper revisions.

US HISTORY and EURO HISTORY (AP) MR. MAHER

| Name: | |
|-------|--|
| | |

BOOK REACTION PAPER RUBRIC

| SCORE | OUTSTANDING (4) | EXCELLENT (3) | BASIC (2) | IN PROGRESS (1) | POINTS |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|
| | Summary consists of a | Summary consists of a | Summary consists of a | Summary is mostly an outline | |
| | discussion of major themes, | discussion of major themes, | discussion of major themes, | of the book and does not | |
| | ideas, and characters | ideas, and characters, | ideas, and characters | discuss themes or major ideas | |
| SUMMARY | providing at least 4 single | providing at least 3 excerpts | providing at least 2 excerpts | of the book. There may be | |
| SUMMAKI | | from the book. It combines | from the book. It combines | one direct quote "thrown in" | |
| | book. It combines ideas from | ideas from the book into new | new ideas from the book into | for effect. | |
| | the book into new sentences | sentences using your own | new sentences using your | | |
| | using your own words. | words. | own words. | | |
| | Paper accurately analyzes the | Paper generally reflects the | Paper attempts to get | Paper does not accurately | |
| POINT OF VIEW | author's intent and views | author's intent and views. | author's intent and views. | demonstrate author's intent | |
| | | | | and views. | |
| | Critique consists of thoughts, | Critique consists of thoughts, | Critique consists of thoughts, | Critique consists of a basic | |
| | responses, and reaction to the | responses, and reaction to the | responses, and reaction to the | opinion based on personal | |
| | book. The student reviewer | book. The student reviewer | book. The student may | feeling of "I liked it" or "I | |
| CRITIQUE | reacts to the themes, the | may discuss only two aspects, | discuss only one aspect of | hated it" and is not | |
| CKITIQUE | author's aims or intent, the | for example, themes and | the novel, such as themes. | considered a critique because | |
| | subject of the book, how well | writer's style. There is not a | This review just states | it does not focus on themes, | |
| | it is written and overall | thorough review of various | random facts, is superficial | author's intent, or writer's | |
| | success or failure of the book. | aspects. | and it lacks a critical eye. | style. | |
| | Structure of the paper flows | Structure of the paper flows | Structure of the paper does | Structure of the paper does | |
| | and is easily read because of | and is easily read, but 1-2 | NOT follow a logical order. | NOT follow a logical order. | |
| | smooth transitions from | transitions may be faulty or | The writing or ideas may | There are no transitional | |
| ORGANIZATION | paragraph to paragraph. The | missing. There is some | "jump" around; it is not | phrases that make it easy to | |
| UNGANIZATION | sequence of topics is in | illogical order in sequence of | cohesive. There is not a clear | read the paper. OR review is | |
| | logical order. There is a | topics. There is a clear cut | introduction, body, or | just a copying of the original | |
| | clear-cut introduction, body, | introduction, body, and | conclusion. | book. | |
| | and conclusion. | conclusion. | | | |

| Ī | | Uses complete sentences and | Uses complete sentences and | There are 1-2 incomplete | There are more than 2 | |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| | | a variety of sentence types. | a variety of sentence types. A | sentences or fragments. | incomplete sentences or | |
| | MECHANICS | Proper grammar/spelling. | few (3-5) | There are also run-on | fragments. There are more | |
| | | | grammatical/spelling errors | sentences. 6-8 spelling | than 2 run-on sentences. Over | |
| | | | | errors. | 9 spelling/grammar errors. | |
| | | | | | TOTAL | 100 |